

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,619	02/07/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2095	1510
75	590 01/15/2002			
Nixon Peabody LLP			EXAMINER	
8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 800 McLean, VA 22102			NGO, NGAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	227
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002	L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4					1			
p .		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	_			
1		09/	499,619	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI				
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
		1 7.	n Ngo	2814				
Period fo		nication appears	on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address				
A SHO THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If umunication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will appl y will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, the statutory minimury and will expire SIX the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>02 Janua</u>	ry 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 21-26</u> is/are reje	cted.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or elec	tion requireme	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by t	ne Examiner.	a					
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		,— ,	•				
	Applicant may not request that any ol							
11) 🔲 🖯	The proposed drawing correction file							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examin	₽ 1.					
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.0.0.140(-).(-)(0.				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a clair		my under 35 O	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)L	All b) Some * c) None of:		o boon roosiyo	4				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the Interest the attached detailed Office acti	national Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic pric	ority under 35 L	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
•	The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim							
Attachment	(s)	•						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	•		erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:				

Application/Control Number: 09/499,619

Art Unit: 2814

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichikawa et al (US-5,028,916, cited by Applicant on 10-24-01) in view of Wakai et al (US-5,032,883).

Ichikawa discloses a display device having a plurality of first signal lines (20) and a plurality of second signal lines (16), a plurality of switching elements (24), a plurality of pixel electrodes (26), and a driver circuit comprising at least one IC chip (110 or 112) for driving the switching elements (24). Wakai discloses a display device having a smoothing film (108) having organic resin formed over the switching elements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the smoothing film formed of organic resin as taught by Wakai in Ichikawa's device. No patentable weight is given to the "tape automated bonding process" in claim 8. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process,

Art Unit: 2814

and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Claims 15-20 stand allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (703) 308-4938. The fax number for the Art unit is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

January 13, 2002